1	Brian C. Rocca, Bar No. 221576	Glenn D. Pomerantz, Bar No. 112503		
_	brian.rocca@morganlewis.com	glenn.pomerantz@mto.com		
2	Sujal J. Shah, Bar No. 215230	Kuruvilla Olasa, Bar No. 281509		
_	sujal.shah@morganlewis.com	kuruvilla.olasa@mto.com		
3	Michelle Park Chiu, Bar No. 248421	Nicholas R. Sidney, Bar No. 308080		
	michelle.chiu@morganlewis.com	nick.sidney@mto.com		
4	Minna Lo Naranjo, Bar No. 259005	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
_	minna.naranjo@morganlewis.com	350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor		
5	Rishi P. Satia, Bar No. 301958	Los Angeles, California 90071		
4	rishi.satia@morganlewis.com	Telephone: (213) 683-9100		
6	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	Vyla W Mach Dow No. 282000		
7	One Market, Spear Street Tower	Kyle W. Mach, Bar No. 282090		
′	San Francisco, CA 94105-1596	kyle.mach@mto.com Justin P. Raphael, Bar No. 292380		
8	Telephone: (415) 442-1000	justin.raphael@mto.com		
0	Facsimile: (415) 442-1001	Emily C. Curran-Huberty, Bar No. 293065		
9	Richard S. Taffet, <i>pro hac vice</i>	emily.curran-huberty@mto.com		
	richard.taffet@morganlewis.com	Dane P. Shikman, Bar No. 313656		
10	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	dane.shikman@mto.com		
	101 Park Avenue	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
11	New York, NY 10178-0060	560 Mission Street, Twenty Seventh Fl.		
	Telephone: (212) 309-6000	San Francisco, California 94105		
12	Facsimile: (212) 309-6001	Telephone: (415) 512-4000		
	1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 ()		
13	Counsel for Defendants	Jonathan I. Kravis, pro hac vice		
		jonathan.kravis@mto.com		
14		MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
		601 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Ste 500E		
15		Washington, D.C. 20001		
		Telephone: (202) 220-1100		
16				
17				
10		CONCEDICE COURT		
18	UNITED STATE	CS DISTRICT COURT		
19	NODTHEDN DIST	DICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
20	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
20	SAIVFRAIV	CISCO DI VISION		
21	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD		
	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 5.21-IIId-02901-JD		
22	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO		
	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER		
23	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO.	PARTY'S MATERIALS SHOULD BE		
		SEALED RELATING TO NOTICE OF		
24	In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust	FILING OF DECLARATION OF DR.		
	Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD	GREGORY K. LEONARD		
25		GREGORT R. EEOTVIRD		
	State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al.,	Indeed How James Danets		
26	Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD	Judge: Hon. James Donato		
27				
_				
28				

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(c-f), Defendants Google LLC, Google Ireland Limited, Google Commerce Ltd., Google Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd., and Google Payment Corp. (collectively, "Google") respectfully submit this Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed Relating to Google's Notice of Filing of Declaration of Dr. Gregory K. Leonard In Response to Court's Order Re Dr. Singer's Proposed Expert Testimony ("Motion to Seal"). The excerpts at issue in this Motion to Seal are sourced from documents that are designated as "NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" pursuant to the Protective Order entered by the Court, ECF No. 248. A redacted version of the exhibit to Notice of Filing of Declaration of Dr. Gregory K. Leonard In Response to Court's Order Re Dr. Singer's Proposed Expert Testimony has been filed in accordance with the Local Rules.

Subsection (f) of Civil Local Rule 79-5 sets forth procedures that apply when a party seeks to file information designated as confidential by another party. Under subsection (e), the "motion must identify each document or portions thereof for which sealing is sought" and "serve the motion on the Designating Party the same day the motion is filed." Pursuant to subsection (f)(1), the Designating Party has seven days to "file a statement and/or declaration" to establish why such designated material should be kept under seal pursuant to subsection (c)(1) of Civil Local Rule 79-5.

Civil Local Rule 79-5 provides that documents, or portions thereof, may be sealed if a party establishes that the documents are privileged, protectable as a trade secret, or otherwise entitled to protection under the law. A "strong presumption" of access to judicial records applies to dispositive pleadings, and a party seeking to seal those records must show "compelling reasons." *Primus Grp., Inc. v. Inst. for Env't Health, Inc.*, 395 F. Supp. 3d 1243, 1267 (N.D. Cal. 2019) (citations omitted). Compelling reasons justifying the sealing of court records generally exist "when such court files might have become a vehicle for improper purposes, such as the use of records to gratify private spite, promote public scandal, circulate libelous statements, or release trade secrets." *Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006)

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

(internal quotation marks omitted). However, "[t]he mere fact that the production of records may lead to a litigant's embarrassment, incrimination, or exposure to further litigation will not, without more, compel the court to seal its records." *Id.*

Google identifies the following portions of its Notice of Filing of Declaration of Dr. Gregory K. Leonard In Response to Court's Order Re Dr. Singer's Proposed Expert Testimony and supporting papers as containing information designated as confidential by another party:

Document	Portion Containing Designated Information	Designating Party
Exhibit 1	Page 13, Paragraph 19 (between "shares of" and "respectively as of")	Rosetta Stone, Duolingo, and PictureThis
Exhibit 1	Page 13, Paragraph 19 (between "Duolingo (" and "versus")	PictureThis
Exhibit 1	Page 13, Paragraph 19 (between "versus" and ") simply"	Duolingo
Exhibit 1	Page 13, Paragraph 19 (between "Rosetta Stone (versus" and "as predicted by")	PictureThis
Exhibit 1	Page 13, Paragraph 19, Table (all figures under "Category Share" and "Logit Model's Assumption Regarding Percentages of Switching from 'Rosetta Stone' to 'Duolingo' and 'PictureThis – Plaint Identifier'" columns)	Rosetta Stone, Duolingo, and PictureThis

1	Dated: August 14, 2023	By: <u>/s/ Sujal J. Shah</u> Sujal J. Shah
2		Brian C. Rocca, Bar No. 221576
3		brian.rocca@morganlewis.com Sujal J. Shah, Bar No. 215230
4		sujal.shah@morganlewis.com Michelle Park Chiu, Bar No. 248421
5		michelle.chiu@morganlewis.com Minna Lo Naranjo, Bar No. 259005
6		minna.naranjo@morganlewis.com Rishi P. Satia, Bar No. 301958
7		rishi.satia@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
8		One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105
9		Telephone: (415) 442-1000
10		Richard S. Taffet, pro hac vice
11		richard.taffet@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
12		101 Park Avenue New York, NY 10178
13		Telephone: (212) 309-6000
14		Glenn D. Pomerantz, Bar No. 112503 glenn.pomerantz@mto.com
15		Kuruvilla Olasa, Bar No. 281509 kuruvilla.olasa@mto.com
16		MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor
17		Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 683-9100
18		Kyle W. Mach, Bar No. 282090
19		kyle.mach@mto.com Justin P. Raphael, Bar No. 292380
20		justin.raphael@mto.com Emily C. Curran-Huberty, Bar No. 293065
21		emily.curran-huberty@mto.com
		MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street, Twenty Seventh Floor
22		San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 512-4000
23		Jonathan I. Kravis, pro hac vice
24		jonathan.kravis@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
25		601 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 500E Washington, D.C. 20001
26		Telephone: (202) 220-1100
27		Counsel for Defendants
28	8 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIALS	
	SHOULD BE SEALED RELATING TO NOTICE OF FILING OF DECLARATION OF DR. GREGORY K. LEONARD	